

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/646,951 | JUST, WESLEY D. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | R. Alexander Smith | 2859 | |

All Participants:

(1) R. Alexander Smith.

(2) Jeffrey B. Haendler.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 3 January 2006

Time: 12:33 p.m. EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the rearranging of claim language for amended claim 17 filed on October 29, 2005, the claim inadvertently ended in "; and" which should have been changed to a period. Called Mr. Haendler for permission to make this modification to the allowed claim 17.